

Ian Boyes

2003 (Lincoln's Inn)



QUALIFICATIONS:

2002-2003	Inns of Court School of Law (London) Bar Vocational Course
2001-2002	University of Liverpool LLM International and European Business Law (Honours)
1998-2001	University of Liverpool LLB Bachelor of English Laws (Honours)

APPOINTMENTS:

South Eastern Circuit Committee Member, Criminal Bar Association Circuit Representative

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS:

Criminal Bar Association, South Eastern Circuit, Justice

AREAS OF SPECIALISATION:

Ian Boyes both prosecutes and defends, predominantly in the Crown Court, across a wide spectrum of offences, including serious violence, sexual offences, drugs and dishonesty matters.

He has been instructed as junior counsel for the defence on a number of occasions in sexual assault cases and conspiracies to supply Class A drugs and has noted experience of dealing with historic sexual abuse cases.

Ian prosecutes complex and high value benefit frauds and is instructed by a number of large London Boroughs, District Councils and City Councils. He is frequently instructed during the investigation stage and thus has considerable experience of shaping the investigation and working with the investigators themselves. He is often asked to draft the Summons/Information and deal with the matter throughout its process through the courts.

Ian also has a personal and professional interest in firearms and legislation governing such matters. He has a detailed and comprehensive knowledge of firearms' legislation and its application.

He also has expertise in Prison Law and has acted for applicants sentenced to indeterminate sentences at Parole hearings. He regularly provides advice on all aspects of Prison law, particularly amendment/variation of conditions of licence.

He has Court of Appeal experience, having successfully appealed a number of sentences and appeared led by Silk on a guideline authority with regards to mens rea element of conveying prohibited article into a prison. See CPS v M and B below.

He is a fluent French speaker.

CRIMINAL CASES (SAMPLES OF THE TYPE OF WORK UNDERTAKEN):

Cps v M and B- instructed for defendant trainee Solicitor indicted for taking a mobile phone into a prison on an official visit. Case has recently been heard in Court of Appeal on appeal from Crown Court following preparatory hearing. Judgment indicated to be in favour of defence on issue of mens rea. Set to be guideline authority in this area.

R v B, instructed for defence. Defendant indicted for taking a rifle into a prison. Ongoing case.

R v Y, instructed for defence, defendant is a Court interpreter charged with damage offences. Defence of Automatism. Ongoing case.

R v F 2009 a case of historic sexual abuse, instructed for the defence (led junior). Defendant was acquitted of all 39 counts on the indictment. The case involved a family who were allegedly befriended by the defendant for his nefarious purposes. He was running a youth organisation the family attended. The complaints involved mother, 3 daughters and son. Intermediaries were used for all complainants in the case.

R v C 2009, led Junior, instructed by the defence case involved kidnapping and sexual assault of two 8 year old girls in woodlands.

R v St, 2008, instructed for the defence as a led junior. This was a historic sexual abuse case, with 7 alleged victims, which exceptionally ran to three trials. Acquittals on all 20 counts secured.

R v Pascal 2008, instructed for the defence as a led junior, conspiracy to supply class A drugs, involved young men from London, in a rather sophisticated and planned manner, bringing Cocaine into East Anglia to sell. Enterprise was well organised and involved 6 defendants.

R v Stanhope 2008, instructed by the defence as a sole junior, involving a large scale conspiracy to handle plant machinery. Allegations were defendant and two co-defendants were involved in the supply of plant machinery to companies and individuals in Northern Cyprus and beyond. Acquitted.

R v EI, 2008, instructed to defend a husband accused of causing GBH to his wife by stamping on her. After a five day trial and cross examination of medical experts, an acquittal was secured